# Organizational Culture Methodology

Dr. Ahmed El-Rawas, Sarah Yassein

**Abstract -** All organizations have their own techniques of accomplishing things that controls more or less every section of working life from how long respites are to how supplies and services are traded. Thus, organizational culture refers to the basic values, beliefs and ideologies that provide groundwork for an organization's managing system, and the series of management practices and behaviors that both represent and highlight those fundamental principles. These ideologies and practices last because they have connotation for the members of an organization. They signify strategies for durability that have worked well in the past and that the members consider will work again in the future. Some aspects of organizational culture, such as individual behavior and group customs, are very observable. "Working hard," "dressing conventionally" or "acting sociable to customers" are aspects of culture that are easy to monitor. Other aspects of culture are harder to monitor, since they stand for the undetectable assumptions, values and interior beliefs. Examples of this less observable level of culture might be a belief in the consequence of "doing things accurate the first time," "being sincere and decent in all dealings," or "overreach expectations to persuade the customer." The messages that issue from an organization's culture are strongly associated with the organization's policy and managing practices, and have great force on the people who work there. The cultural system cannot be effortlessly seen or touched, yet it is there all the same. And more prominently, the people in the organization know it very well. The rule of the culture often surpasses any other rule. In many organizations, it may be the strongest message of all.

**Index Terms -** Organizational Culture, Denison's Framework, Involvement, Consistency, Flexibility, Mission

— — — — — — — — — — — — ◆ — — — — — — — — — — — — — —

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Organizational Culture: The conception is illustrated by Pettigrew as it is noticeable in the joint essential values, beliefs, thoughts, theories and behaviors of members of an organization. Culture is believed to be the note worthy issue in (1) build and combining abilities (Day, 1994), (2) determining norms and dealings, (3) providing solutions, and finally become a basis of viable benefit. Organizational culture is the quality of an organization, and that quality interrelates and communicates the understanding set and behavior of an organization which sooner or later leads to good or bad presentation. Many researchers have studied the assets, stages, proportions and traits of organizational culture form different points of view. In early works, Hofstede (1994) separated culture into four proportions (1) collectivism vs. individualism, (2) power distance (3) uncertainty avoidance and (4) maleness vs. femaleness. Later on Schein drew three levels of culture (1) unambiguous behavior, (2) signs and (3) common values. There are many different ways how culture can be evaluated. For example, distinguishes four categories of culture on the foundation of the sort of influence (uncompetitive, hierarchical, anarchical and political). Fjortoft and Smart (1994) distinguish organizational cultures on the basis of vitality and externalism. Whereas Denison (2000) has classified culture into four traits: (1) mission, (2) adaptableness, (3) participation and (4) reliability. Denison's model suggests four extensive cultural traits help abilities of an organization for organizing and assimilating interior assets and also adjust into the outside environment. Organizations always struggle to find an ideal balance between them. Each trait is calculated by three scopes is mirrored at the common values and beliefs of its members, and it is revealed in the ends required by the organization, and the means used to attain them, such as firm's constitution (Hofstede, 1994; Zammuto and O'Connor, 1992) CVM emphasizes the opposing anxieties and disagreements across two axes, which figure a four-cell reproduction. The first alliance enlarged from change, flexibility and impulsiveness to permanence, domination, stability and order. The second mirrors the clash between the interior focus or integration of socio-technical schemes and exterior focus on the communication with business environment. As a result, the connection of the two dimensions describes the following four quadrants, which found four models of organizational culture (Clan, Adhocracy, Market and Hierarchy).

## 2 ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

Every person is characterized by innumerable traits and behavioral styles. Different business organizations also come with their inherit culture to force the organizational process. Schein discloses that organizational culture consists of two levels of perceptions, explicitly observable and hidden features. The evident level means exterior structures, clothing, behavior styles, rules, stories, legends, languages and resources. The evident level means widespread values, customs, loyalty and hypotheses of business organization members. Organizational culture, in addition to the ability to incorporate daily actions of workers to reach the intended goals, can also help organizations adjust well to outdoor surroundings for quick and proper reply.

Eldridge and Crombie said that the culture of an organization refers to the distincivet relationship of customs, values, beliefs and ways of behaving that portray the style in which groups and individuals mingle to get things done.

A blueprint of basic statements formulated, discovered or extended by a given group as it learns to get by with the problems of external adjustment and internal combination that has worked well enough to be considered applicable and, so, to be taught to new members as the correct way to identify, think and feel in relation to these problems.

Furnham and Gunter (1993) referred that Culture is the

commonly held beliefs, approaches and values that subsist in an organization. Put more plainly, culture is "the way we do things around here."

Colquitt et al. (2009) said that it is the shared social acquaintance within an organization concerning the rules, customs, and values that form the approach and behaviors of its employees. Organizational culture has been getting increasing interest over the years. Good enough considered organizational culture to be a system of knowledge and averages that an employee obtains in order to identify and estimate the

Organizational environment later on, Uttal outlined culture as "a system of mutual values (what is significant) and beliefs (how things work) that interrelatewith an organization's people, organizational structures and control systems to create behavioral customs" while Schein as "the deeper level of basic suppositions and beliefs that are split by members of an organization, that function automatically and that identify in a basic taken-for-granted style on organization's view of itself discloses environment". Although there is no agreement regarding its explanation, the current study takes on the one projected by Deshpande and Webster, referring to "the proto type of shared values and beliefs that help individuals comprehend organizational implementation and thus provide them with customs for behavior in the organization". Organizational culture as a forecaster Overall, the working environment has been established to control employee approaches toward the organization. As Verplanken (2004) designates, values are vital components of individuals' psychology of their working environment.

Establishing their awareness of organizational attributes by developing a familiar way of thinking and comprehending, the endorsed culture manipulates the analysis of the organizational actuality, and hence creates employee outlook and behavior (Cameron and Quinn, 1999). Concerning particularly job fulfillment, earlier researchers have principally checked the outcome that diverse types of organizational culture or cultural scopes have on it. For example, examined the force of organizational culture on job contentment – along with organizational obligation and work-group unity – and found that the ritual culture is the less possible to produce all three reactions. (Lund, et. al., 2003), adopting Cameron and Quinn's (1999) typology of cultures, acknowledged a constructive relationship between tribe (emphasizing elasticity and internal spotlight) and adhocracy (emphasizing improvement, creativity, and compliance) cultures and job contentment and a unconstructive relationship between market (stressing goal attainment and beating opposition) and hierarchy (stressing competence and silky performance) culture. Likewise, Silverthorne (2004) concluded that the furthermost level of job fulfillment is more possible to be produced when culture is encouraging, then when it is pioneering and at last when it is practical. As for cultural dimensions it is disputed that admiration for people, novelty, steadiness and hostility had a helpful effect on job contentment whereas other concluded that result orientation, expert features, and strict control and management enhance employee job happiness. Finally, examining hospital employees, found that employees who felt that the organization documented their job routine were more likely to be pleased. Despite all these studies, (Detert et. al. 2000) have

stated that the straight relation between organizational culture and job fulfillment needs extra research. Moreover, to our knowledge, there is no other study integrating the Organizational Culture Profile (OCP) in the assessment of the connection between culture and job satisfaction, although it has been broadly accepted as a key measure of culture (Sarros et al., 2005). Based on this indication, the current study requests to add to the presented knowledge by emphasizing the task of distinctive organizational values, instead of cultural dimensions or types of it, for employee job satisfaction (Lund, 2003; Silverthorne, 2004). This method is expected to propose greater imminent into this relationship, as dimensions may conceal the real authority of each value (if any).

The idea of organizational culture was identified as one of the main aspects of the organizational behavior, useful to understand how organizations work, Kristof and how well a worker fits into a specific organization (O'Reilly, et. al., 1989). Affirmative organizational culture reinforces the basic beliefs and the behavior that a leader realizes, declining values and actions that the leader does not think accurate for the business. On the contrary, unconstructive culture becomes venomous, exterminates the life of the organization and obstructs the growth possible (Kaufman, 2002). Literature on organizational cultures intends different types of culture incorporation, discrimination and disintegration. Similarly, Wallach suggested three sorts of organizational culture: bureaucratic, encouraging and inventive. Organizational culture is created and restrained by dissimilar variables. The first observable inconsistent is the principal of the organization, that impact with his values on organizational culture. The second central variable is the pressure of each member of the organization. These variables are called "internal cultural variables" (Schein, 1992). Finally, there are the exterior variables. Although it is not uncomplicated to realize the collision of external variables, these are elementary for a good conception of organizational culture. There was a long dispute about the processes that can be used to quantify organizational culture, both qualitative and quantitative. Therefore, several degrees have been recommended in literature to measure this hypothesis.

## 3 NECESSITY OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

An obvious comprehending of organizational culture is significant for all leaders because it manipulates the way that their organizations respond to the changing requests of the business environment. At any prearranged time, the culture of an organization is powerfully influenced by the past achievements and past learning's about how to become accustomed and endure. As the business environment alters, leaders must continuously foresee the compulsory changes and keenly observe the relationship between the needs of the environment and the aptitudes of the organization. When there is a "slit" between the aptitudes of the organization and the commands of the business environment, many organizations endeavor to close that gap by re-manufacturing or by making wide structural changes. These changes are often cooperative, but most successful organizational changes also need changes in the state of mind, values and behavior of the organization's members. Without producing these changes, changes in necessary

capabilities of the organization are unattainable. That is why many organizations are discovering that victorious change requires watchful attention to the "flexible" side of organizations the values and beliefs that are the "core of the company", the strategies and practices that position those values into action, and the consequence of teaching the members of the organization a considerate of how they put up value for their customers. Professor Denison found a determined relationship between four cultural attributes of organizations and the business functioning of organizations. These four traits, Participation, Uniformity, Flexibility and Task, are the foundation for the mold underlying the Denison Organizational Culture Survey.

Yilmaz et.al. (2008) states: Following Schien (1984) at the core of Denison's model are the underlying beliefs and suppositions that correspond to the deepest levels of organizational culture. These fundamental suppositions afford the foundation with which: (1) more surface-level cultural factors such as values and observable objects– symbols, heroes, customs, etc. – are resultant, and (2) behavior and action bounce (Denison, 2000). In Denison's model, divergence of organizations based on somewhat more ''surface-level'' values and their reveal practices are made. Such values are supposed both more reachable than the assumptions and more dependable than the artifacts Denison's organizational culture model is based on four cultural traits concern, consistency, flexibility, and duty that have been shown in the literature to have an authority on organizational accomplishment (Denison & Mishra, 1995). The four traits of organizational culture in Denison's agenda are as follows: Denison's Framework of Organizational Culture.

## 4 DENISON'S FRAMEWORK OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

Figure (1) shows the structure of organizational culture which includes four dimensions and each one contains three sections as follows:

First Dimension Involvement: Building human capability, possession, and liability, includes three divisions (Empowerment, Team Orientation Capability Development).

Second Dimension Consistency: Defining the values and systems that are the foundation of a well-built culture, includes three sections (Coordination and Integration, Agreement, Core Values).

Third Dimension Adaptability: Translating the requirements of the business environment into action, it includes three sections (Creating Change, Customer Focus, and Organizational Learning).

Fourth Dimension Mission: Defining a significant long-term direction for the organization, it includes three sections (Strategic Direction and Intent, Goals and Objectives, Vision).

FIG.1: DENISON'S FRAMEWORK OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE



### 4.1 Involvement

Successful organizations authorize their people, construct their organizations around teams, and broaden human potential at all levels (Lawler, et. al., 1996). Senior manager and employees are devoted to their work and feel that they own a piece of the organization. People at all levels feel that they have at least some contribution into decisions that will shape their work and that their work is directly associated with the goals of the organization (Katzenberg, et. al., 1993; Spreitzer, 1995)

Trait Involvement trait creates a common sense of possession and liability and further enhances faculty and independence (Denison, 2000). It helps in the execution of decisions. It facilities flexibility and internal assimilation (Denison and Mishra, 1995). Organizations high on contribution trait have more positive employee thoughts. To accomplish organizational implementation, an organization must televise empowerment, construct teams, and increase the capability of employees (Lawler, 1996). Employees should feel that they have a role to play in the organization. To reach the contribution, employees should feel that they have some input in the decision making, their voice can be perceived, and organizational objectives are directly linked with their work (Spreitzer, 1995). Therefore, involvement trait indices are (1) Empowerment, (2) Team Orientation, and (3) Capability Development.

**Empowerment**

Empowerment means Individuals have the influence, advantage, and ability to run their own work. This produces a sense of possession and liability toward the organization.

The investigation items that include the Empowerment guide are:

- Most employees in this organization are extremely occupied with their work.
- Decisions in this organization are generally made at the level where the best information is accessible.
- Information is broadly shared in this organization so that everyone can obtain the information s/he wants when it is needed.
- Everyone in this organization deems that s/he can have an optimistic impact.
- Business preparation in our organization is continuing and entails everyone in the process to some extent.

The starts of the perception of employee empowerment can be found in numerous places. The socio-technical method

merged two parts of work in a complete way. The idea of job enhancement work was focused on increasing control and decision-making in one's work. The literature on job independence, tackles another section of what is today submitted to employee empowerment. The attitude to leadership that gives power to secondary as a primary element of administrative and organizational efficiency is also called employee empowerment. Another dimension has its beginnings in the psychiatry of internal organization power and control which showed that the sharing of power and control increases organizational success. Others classify the team dimension of empowerment. Research on alienation and argument of employee partaking (Lawler, et. al., 1996) are also forerunners of the idea of employee empowerment. Having developed an awareness of the basis of employee empowerment, the next confront is to establish what it is that people mean when they refer to it. The writingon employee empowerment can be separated into five groups: management, the individual empowered state, mutual work, structural or bureaucratic change, and the multi-dimensional perception which covers most of the four formerly stated groupings. To empower means to give power to. Power, however, has numerous denotations. In a lawful sense, power means influence, so that empowerment can mean authorization. Power also may be used to describe competence, as in the self-efficiency definition of Conger and Kanungo.

However, power also means vigor. Thus, to empower also can mean to invigorate. This latter meaning best describes the present motivational procedure of the term. Our insight is that the word empowerment has become accepted because it provides a tag for a nontraditional pattern of enthusiasm. Prevalent use of the term has come at a time when foreign competition and change have enforced a search for alternative forms of management that promote dedication, risk-taking, and innovation. This tendency has been particularly evident in the fields of headship and organizational culture, where investigation has shown how transformational and appealing leaders can strengthen workers by exploiting idealism and building reliance in the ability to achieve important goals. Modern conceptualizations of organizations also have confirmed how controls can be decreased under circumstances of strong goal configuration to allow inventiveness without forfeiting harmonization. Finally, studies of successful organizations and leaders have given integrity to these approaches by providing convincing examples of organizations that manage in this way.

## Team Orientation

- Team Orientation means Value is positioned on working helpfully toward general goals for which all employees feel equally responsible. The organization relies on team exertion to get work done. The investigation items that include the Team Orientation index are:
- Collaboration and teamwork across purposeful roles are energetically supported in this organization.
- Working in this organization is resembling being part of a team.

- Work is wisely planned in this organization so that each person can see the connection between his/her work and the goals of the organization.
- Teams are the main building block of this organization.

This organization relies on parallel control and coordination to get work done, rather than hierarchy.

Team positioning refers to an individual's inclination for performance as part of a team and the level to which individuals prefer to work in group settings for task execution. Team orientation is generally inspected as constant enough to influence how individuals react to a particular situation, but can be changed over time through experience (Eby and Dobbins, 1997). Team positioning is defined as the amount to which the organizational members strain collaboration and teamwork in performing company procedures and in making business decisions. Team direction is a general nature inclining some individuals toward working in groups or teams. Team orientation means condition of being directed as a team. From the above definitions of team orientations, for this study objective, we can say that team orientation means coverage to which the employees of a bank have actually directed and devoted towards team works. On the other hand it is about state of being orientated or directed towards in team works in accomplishing bank's goals and aims.

## Capability Development

The organization continually empowers in the development of employees' skills in order to stay spirited and meet current business needs. The survey items that include the Capability Development index are:

- This organization passes on authority so that people can act on by themselves.
- The competence of the people in this organization is viewed as a central basis of competitive advantage.
- This organization endlessly invests in the skills of its workers.
- The "counter strength" of this organization is continually improving.
- Problems often come up in my organization because we do not have the skills essential to do the job.

Over the past years, the number of pamphlets based on the resource-based and active capability view has developed exponentially (Helfat, et. al., 2000). Viewing companies as a package of organization-specific resources, capabilities, schedules and aptitudes, these hypotheses try to explain constant heterogeneity in firm performance as well as firm dynamics. Moreover, they recommend that a firm's facility to form and control new acquaintance and capabilities may be a more important determinant of competitive advantage than its existing resource base, in particular in dynamic business settings (Sanchez et al. 1996; Teece, et. al., 1997). However, scholars have also referred to other flows of writing in order to comprehend how capabilities should be built and influenced (Anand and Vassolo, 2002). Research on capability development has more and more recognized the role of knowledge administration as a key managerial determinant of competitive benefit (Argote and Ingram, 2000). As a result, the role of knowledge-based resources is supposed critical in the procedure of value forma-

tion. Also, the role of knowledge incorporation is increasingly found to be a key determinant of the competitive location of companies (Grant, 1996). Moreover, organizational learning premise and evolutionary finances have been engaged with the facility of the organization to build up new knowledge and skills over time and the role of routines in this course (Cyert and March, 1963; Levinthal and March, 1993). Hence these theories have also been given wide spread consideration in this reverence.

## 4.2 Consistency

Consistency means identifying the values and schemes that are the roots of a burly culture. Consistency provides an inner most foundation of incorporation, harmonization and control. Consistent organizations build up a mindset and a set of organizational systems that produce an inside system of domination based on consensual support. They have greatly dedicated employees, key central values, a divergent method of doing business, an inclination to advance from within, and understandable series of do's and don'ts.

Consistency creates a "physically powerful" culture based on a communal system of principles, values and marks that are broadly grasped by members of an organization. Implicit control systems founded on internalized values can be a more effective means of attaining harmonization and incorporation than external-control systems that depend on unambiguous rules and set of laws. The authority of this method of process is mostly evident when organizational members come across unusual situations. It facilitates individuals to better react in a knowable way to an arbitrary environment by stressing a few general, value based principles on which actions can be stranded.

Organizations also are apt to be useful because they have "strong" cultures that are greatly steady, well-harmonized, and well-incorporated. Behavior is rooted in a series of central values, and leaders and supporters are skilled at reaching concord even when there are various points of view. This type of uniformity is a dominant source of constancy and internal incorporation that results from a general frame of mind and a high degree of conventionality. The reliability trait defines the values and system that are the basis of a strong culture. It provides an inner most source of incorporation, and organization (Denison, 2000). It spots on generally established beliefs, customs and values. It is anticipated to increase efficiency, usefulness and performance by decreasing control apparatus and thus assisting communication, coordination and costs lessening. Organizations are effectual because they have strong culture which is vastly constant, approved, coordinated and incorporated. Consistency is a powerful source of internal incorporation and steadiness which bounces from universal frame of mind and agreement. Hence, consistency trait indices are (1) Coordination and Integration, (2) Agreement, and (3) Core Values.

## Coordination and Integration

Coordination and Integration mean diverse roles and items of the organization can work mutually well to get common goals. Organizational limits do not impede getting work completed. The study items that contain the Coordination and Integration index are:

- Our approach to doing business is very constant and expected.
- There is good configuration of goals across levels of this organization.
- People from different organizational parts still allocate a common viewpoint.
- It is easy to direct projects across practical units in this organization.
- Working with someone from another branch of this organization is similar to working with someone from a dissimilar corporation.

The terms "coordination" and "integration," as well as "teamwork" and "association," have often been used interchangeably and with varying implications and meanings. More recent studies have accomplished some accord and define coordination as state of affairs where two or more organizations work mutually, through an official or un official deal, to meet one or more goals such as civilizing the usefulness and/or cost-effectiveness of programs, keeping away from the needles repetition of services, and improving performance. While many of the terms are compatible, there is usually a dissimilarity between service combination—which involves logistic and corporeal closeness—and coordination—which refers to organization efforts to work together to accomplish particular goals. Obviously, coordination can happened evoid of prepared integration, and corporeal mixing does not essentially progress system or program coordination. Based on this division, most of the studies in this part have focused on coordination rather than service incorporation

## Agreement

Agreement means the organization can reach concord on serious issues. This includes both the underlying level of concord and the aptitude to settle divergences when they take place. The survey items that include the Agreement index are:

- When disagreements come about, we work hard to reach "win-win" solutions.
- This organization has a strapping culture.
- There is plain agreement about the correct way and the incorrect way to do things in this organization.
- It is easy for us to reach agreement, even on thorny subjects.
- We often have nuisance reaching accord on key issues.

## Core Values

Core Values mean members of the organization distribute a group of values which make a sense of individuality and a clear set of prospect. The survey items that contain the Interior Values index are:

- There is an apparent and reliable series of values in this company that administrate the way we carry out business.
- This business has a typical management style and a distinct set of management practices.
- The directors in this company "practice what they advocate."
- This organization has amoral policy that directs our performance and tells us true from false.
- Discounting the interior values of this organization will get you in nuisance.

## 4.3 Flexibility

Flexibility means interpreting the commands of the business environment into action. Organizations grasp a system of customs and beliefs that maintain the organization's ability to collect, interpret, and translate indications from its environment into internal behavioral changes that enlarge its opportunities for endurance, expansion and progress.

Three features of adaptability force an organization's efficiency. First is the ability to recognize and react to the external environment. Successful organizations are very focused on their clients and their contestants. Second is the ability to react to internal clients, in spite of level, section or task. Third is the capacity to reform and re-institutionalize a series of behaviors and processes that let the organization adapt. Lacking this ability to execute adaptive reaction, an organization cannot be successful.

Sarcastically, organizations that are well incorporated are often the most complicated ones to change. Internal integration and external adjustment can often be at likelihood. Adaptable organizations are directed by their customers, take risks, learn from their errors, and have the capability and knowledge at creating change. They are constantly changing the system so that they are humanizing the organizations' communal abilities to provide value for their customers. The adaptability feature submits to the organizations ability to decode the orders of the business situation into action (Denison, 2000). In the same way, Yilmaz et. al. (2008) asserted that adaptability assists the adaptation of customer hopes and external requisites into organizational processes. It progresses the organization's capability to get by the instability in environment. Consequently, it can be regarded as forecaster of organization's ability to construct new originality, market development and it also hands out as self-protective capacity for astonishing fear. According to Kanter it is most complicated to change well incorporated organizations. External adaptation and internal incorporation are often at odds. Adaptability is driven by customers, risk taking, practice, and learning from mistake. Adaptable organizations constantly in the state of knowledge and always struggle to enhance their systems to provide value to their customers. For this reason, adaptability trait guides are (1) Creating Change, (2) Customer Focus, and (3) Organizational Learning.

### Creating Change

Creating Change means the organization can create adaptive ways to meet altering wants. It can read the business environment, rapidly react to present drifts, and predict future changes. The survey items that encompass the Creating Change index are:

- This organization is very receptive and changes effortlessly.
- This organization reacts well to opponents and other changes in the external business environment.
- This organization frequently adopts new and improved methods to do work.
- Exertions to change this organization repeatedly meet with confrontation.
- Different units in this organization often cooperate to generate change.

Illustrative descriptions of alteration differ with the level of investigation. At the utmost general level, "change is a spectacle of time. It is the way people talk about the occasion in which something seems to become, or turn into, something else, where the 'something else' is seen as a consequence or outcome". In orientation to organizations, change comprises change "in how an organization performs, who its members and leaders are, what formula it takes, or how it allots its resources". From the standpoint of organizational expansion, change is "a set of behavioral science-based theories, values, policies, and practices aimed at the deliberate change of the organizational work setting for the persistence of enhancing single development and improving organizational act, through the alteration of organizational members' on-the-job behaviors".The notions used to flesh out these explanations have been unpredictably heavy-duty over the years. Lewin's three phases of change—release, change, and refreeze—continue to be a universal procedure for organizational development. As (Hendry, 1996) notes, "Scratch any account of creating and managing change and the idea that change is a three-stage progression which essentially begins with a process of liberating will not be far beneath the surface. Undeniably it has been said that the entire theory of change is reducible to this one idea of Kurt Lewin's". Lewin's declaration that "you cannot apprehend a system until you try to change it" (Schein 1996) survives in sarcasm of change: "one seldom entirely escalates or understands a situation until after it has changed". Lewin's concept of confrontation to change endures in list of 30 causes of confrontation to change and in renewed exertions to answer the question, "Just whose view is it that is struggling change?" The discrepancy between incremental and fundamental change first pronounced by Bateson as the discrepancy between first- and second-order changes continues to guide theory production and data gathering. The pulses of change remain to be described as periods of conjunction marked off from periods of deviation by external shocks (e.g. Bacharach, et. al., 1996). The continuing supremacy of these reputable ideas may advocate a definite lethargy in the cerebral life of scholars of change.

### Customer Focus

Customer Focus means the organization understands and counters to their customer, and expects their forthcoming needs. It replicates the grade to which the organization is run by a concern to gratify their customer. The survey items that encompass the Customer Focus index are:

- Customer remarks and approvals often direct to changes in this organization.
- Customer involvement straight impacts our decisions.
- All members of this organization have a profound thoughtful of customer desires and needs.
- We animate direct contact with customers by members of the association.
- The concerns of the final customer often are disregarded in our judgments.

Customer orientation has been clarified in different ways in the works and often related to terms such as market orientation, marketing perception, and "customer first". However defined, its central plunge endures the goal of putting custom-

ers at the midpoint of deliberate focus. Developing a customer orientation despite the prominence of a customer orientation, there is little works dealing with how to progress such an orientation, either through general account or through case-study drawings. At a much wider level, a note worthy volume of studies has dealt with reviewing organizational marketing efforts. Newly, more focused studies on the subject of customer orientation are looking with increasing symmetry in standard journals. However, most of the practical studies have focused on the degree and quantity, but abandoned the disparity in the dimensions or features of customer orientation that are unveiled by organizations (Greenley, 1995). The general writings, therefore, have not been very caring to practicing managers in the manner.

During the last span, a substantial change in administration thought has been the understanding that organizations often flop to focus on the customers and markets they aid. A market focus involves directing the efforts of the corporation to meeting customer necessities and wants. This change in management assessment of the customer has been boosted by a progressively economical global business environment, fast-tracking technological developments which have reduced product life rotations and the complexity of many organizations in satisfying higher performance. Moreover, consumers are becoming better organized, are well educated and are, inclusive, more demanding. Due to this conversion in management thought about the market, it is not astonishing that many firms have founded formal activities to advance the value of services transported, and to encourage a converted emphasis on serving the customer. These programs show the cautious efforts by managers to promote and support a customer orientation in the organization. The origins of customer orientation can be drew to the development of the marketing theory, which is principally a business attitude or strategy report which grips that the crucial goal of an organization is to satisfy customer needs for the purpose of exploiting business yields. Definitely, much earlier, Drucker had declared that creating a satisfied customer is the only defensible definition of business purpose.

### Organizational Learning

Organizational Learning means the organization receives, explains, and interprets signs from the environment into chances for encouraging novelty, multiplying knowledge and developing capabilities. The survey items that include the Organizational Learning index are:

- This organization inspires innovation and recompenses those who take risks.

- We regard disappointment as an opportunity for learning and expansion.

- Lots of things "plunge between the crashes" in this organization.

- Learning is a vital purpose in our everyday work.

- We should make sure that the "right hand identifies what the left is performing."

Organizational learning is not only the amount of each member's learning. Organizations contrasting individuals develop and preserve learning systems that not only manipulate their unexpected members, but are then conveyed to others by way of organization histories and customs. Hedberg states it this way: Although organizational learning arises through individuals, it would be a fault to determine that organizational learning is nothing but the increasing result of their members' learning. Organizations do not have brains, but they have intellectual systems and retentions. As individuals improve their characters, personal habits, and beliefs over time, organizations develop world opinions and beliefs. Members come and go, and guidance changes, but organizations' memories preserve definite behaviors, rational maps, norms, and values over time.

Gist of Learning: the gist produced by the process of organizational regulation may be defined as the designs of mental associations established by the organization's members. Alternatively, the content may be viewed as the behavioral outcomes that reflect the shapes and/or cognitive connotations that have developed. The peculiarity is similar to Schein arguing for three levels of culture: rational, behavioral, and art-factual. However, specifically in the perspective of organizational learning and adaptation, it is indispensable to note the variance between reasoning and behavior, for not only do they embody two dissimilar spectacles, but also one is not certainly an exact image of the other. Changes in behavior may befall deprived of any mental connotation development; likewise, knowledge may be enlarged without any additional change in behavior. The contacts between changes in behavior and level of intellectual development may be portrayed. Small changes in behavior do not incline to bring about key cerebral development-the change may be too ongoing for clear associations to occur; nor do major changes in behavior infer similarly large advances in perceptive development. In fact, one school of thought proposes that action taking creating change may not be caused by mental development but only by a need to do something. Creating change may be creating the artifice of learning such that management seems to be in control.

### 4.4 Mission

Mission means defining as a significant long-term course for the organization. A task provides tenacity and meaning by defining a communal role and external aims for the organization. It provides a pure course and ends that serve to explain a suitable course of action for the organization and its members. A sense of mission lets an organization shape existing behavior by imagining a wanted future state. Being able to adopt and identify with an organization's mission donates to both short and long span promise to the organization. Achievement is more expected when individuals and organizations are goal absorbed.

The indices of the Mission Trait are:

- Strategic Direction and Intent.

- Goals and Objectives.

- Vision

Prosperous organizations have a rich sense of purpose and route that defines managerial goals and planned objectives and states a vision of how the organization will look in the future. When an organization's basic mission changes, changes also arise in other facets of the organization's culture. The mission trait echoes the organizations skill to define a pro-

found long term direction that affords employees with a sense of emphasis and common image of the future (Denison, 2000). It is built on external focus, strains on permanency and fitness to give an organization purpose, meaning and calculated direction. A perfect vision, goals and strategic objectives offers sense of direction and purpose which makes an organization fruitful. Change in organization mission escorts to change in organization culture. It centers on paradox of core integration and external alteration at same moment of time. Therefore, mission trait indices are (1) Strategic Direction and Intent, (2) Goals and Objectives, and (3) Vision

### Strategic Direction and Intent

Strategic Direction and Intent means rich strategic purposes transfer the organization's purpose and make it obvious show everyone can donate, and "make their mark" in the manufacturing. The survey items that include the Strategic Direction and Intent index are:

- This organization has a clear mission that provides meaning and direction for our work.
- This organization has a long-term drive and direction.
- The strategic direction of this organization is vague to me.
- This organization has a vibrant plan for the future.
- Our organization's strategy is guiding other firms to change the ways that they contest.

### Goals and Objectives

Goals and Objectives mean a clear set of goals and objectives can be linked to the mission, vision, and strategy, and provide everyone with a clear direction in their work. The survey items that contain the Goals and Objectives index are:

- A common contract is found about the goals of this organization.
- The bosses of this organization set goals that are aspiring, but convincing.
- The management of this organization has "gone on record" about the objectives we are trying to encounter.
- We always follow our progress against our listed goals.
- The people of this institute understand what is to be done for us to flourish in the long run.

### Vision

Vision means the organization has a public view of a preferred future condition. It represents core values and arrests the hearts and minds of the organization's people, while providing help and direction. The survey items that bargain the Vision index are:

- A collective vision of what this organization will be akin to in the future.
- This organization's leaders have a long-term orientation.
- Short-term judgment often concedes long-term vision.
- Enthusiasm and inspiration are created for our workers.
- We manage to meet short-term request without bargaining long-term vision.

## 5 CONCLUSION

There are alot of improving methodologies can be applied to improve the organizational culture as follows:

1) Focusing on the cultural/ethical aspects of the organization, the ability of corporate management to make sound decisions as well as to inform the employees about the state and direction of the organization.

2) Implementing social atmosphere among the co-workers, the degree of professional cooperation as well as the sense of social belonging.

3) Focusing on the job content, the physical work environment, job security, the pay and benefit package, in other words all the aspects of the job itself when perceived as secluded from the social and cultural environment.

4) Making proper communication channel, good and supportive relationship with coworkers and with supervisor.

## REFERENCES

[1] Anand, Jaideep, and Roberto S. Vassolo, (2002); An Examination of Dynamic Capabilities: Is Evolutionary Theory Under-Determined?, SMS Conference, Paris

[2] Argote, Linda, and Paul Ingram, (2000); Knowledge transfer: A basis for competitive advantage in firms, Organizational behavior and human decision processes 82.1: 150-169

[3] Cameron, K.S and Quinn, R.E., (1999); Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture. Based on the Competing Values Framework, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA

[4] Colquitt, Jill L., et al., (2009); Surgery for obesity, Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2.2

[5] Day, George S., (1994); The capabilities of market-driven organizations, The Journal of Marketing: 37-52.

[6] Denison, Daniel R., (2000); Organizational culture: Can it be a key lever for driving organizational change, The international handbook of organizational culture and climate: 347-372

[7] Detert, James R., Roger G. Schroeder, and John J. Mauriel, (2000); A framework for linking culture and improvement initiatives in organizations, Academy of management Review 25.4: 850-863

[8] Eby, Lillian T., and Gregory H. Dobbins, (1997); Collectivistic orientation in teams: An individual and group-level analysis, Journal of Organizational Behavior: 275-295

[9] Grant, Robert M., (1996); Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm, Strategic management journal 17.S2: 109-122

[10] Helfat, Constance E., and Ruth S. Raubitschek, (2000); Product sequencing: co-evolution of knowledge, capabilities and products, Strategic Management Journal: 961-979

[11] Kaufman, David W., et al., (2002); Recent patterns of medication use in the ambulatory adult population of the United States: the Slone survey, Jama 287.3: 337-344

[12] Lawler, Edward J., and Jeongkoo Yoon, (1996); Commitment in exchange relations: Test of a theory of relational cohesion, American sociological review: 89-108

[13] Lund, Jennifer, et al., (2003); Toll-like receptor 9–mediated recognition of Herpes simplex virus-2 by plasmacytoid dendritic cells, The Journal of experimental medicine 198.3: 513-520

[14] Nadler, D. A., (1998); Leading executive teams, Executive teams. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 3-20

[15] Sarros, James C., et al., (2005); The organizational culture profile revisited and revised: An Australian perspective, Australian journal of Management 30.1: 159-182

[16] Schein, Edgar H., (1990); Organizational Culture, Vol. 45. No. 2. American Psychological Association,

[17] Schein, Edgar H., (1992); Organizational Culture and Leadership (2nd ed.), San Francisco: Jossey Bass.

[18] Senge, P., (1990); The fifth discipline: The art and science of the learning organization, New York: Currency Doubleday

[19] Silverthorne, Colin, (2004); The impact of organizational culture and person-organization fit on organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Taiwan, Leadership & Organization Development Journal 25.7: 592-599

[20] Spreitzer, Gretchen M., (1995); Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation, Academy of management Journal 38.5: 1442-1465

[21] Yilmaz Guney, Antoniou, Antonios, and Krishna Paudyal, (2008); "The determinants of capital structure: capital market-oriented versus bank-oriented institutions", Journal of financial and quantitative analysis 43.01: 59-92

IJSER